

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 * * *

6 RONALD LEE ALLEN,)

7 Plaintiff(s),)

2:10-cv-857-RLH-GWF

8 vs.)

ORDER

9 CLARK COUNTY DETENTION)
10 CENTER; *et al.*,)

11 Defendant(s).)
_____)

12 Before the Court is an Order (#141) entered by the Honorable George W. Foley
13 regarding Plaintiff Allen's Motion to Appoint Counsel (#108).

14 Defendant Naphcare, Inc., filed an Objection to Judge Foley's Order #141 (#148, filed
15 May 27, 2011) in accordance with Local Rule IB 3-1 of the Rules of Practice of the United States
16 District Court for the District of Nevada. Plaintiff filed a Response (#149) to the Objection,
17 Defendant filed a Reply (#150), and this matter was referred for consideration.

18 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with
19 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-1 and determines that the Order of
20 Magistrate Judge Foley is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law and should be affirmed.

21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge *'s Order (#141), appointing
22 counsel for Plaintiff in this action only, is AFFIRMED, Defendant's Objection (#148) is overruled,
23 and counsel is appointed as ordered by Judge Foley.

24 Dated: August 15, 2011.

25 
26 **ROGER L. HUNT**
U.S. District Judge